1



# THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

100 Galleria Parkway, NW Suite 1750 Atlanta, GA 30339-5948 Telephone: (770) 933-8500 Facsimile: (770) 951-0933

Raymond W. Armentroul Direct Dial: (770) 738-2310

0

e-mail: ray.armentrout@skhr.com

# UNOFFICIAL FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

July 1, 2003

[050817-1860]

Anh Hong Do

From
Raymond W. Armentrout

FAX: 847-556-0620

FAX: 770-951-0933

TEL:

TEL: 770-738-2310

RE: Serial No. 09/996,967

(Message) Attached please find the Draft Proposed Interview Agenda for your review

Number of Pages (Including This Cover Sheet): - 3 - Pages PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE SAFE AND CLEAR RECEIPT OF ALL PAGES.

CONFIDENTIAL

The information in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

#### -- DRAFT --

### PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Bradley Suggs

Serial No.: 09/996,967

Filed: 11/27/2001

Group Art Unit: 2624

Examiner: Anh Hong Do

Docket No. 10970214-2

MULTI-RESOLUTION COLOR CONTACT-TYPE For:

IMAGE SENSING APPARATUS

# PROPOSED INTERVIEW ACENDA

Agenda Item 1. Applicant requests amendment to claim 121 to incorporate all limitations of its base independent claim 115, and requests amendment to dependent claims 116, 117 and 120 to depend upon amended claim 121

Proposed Amendment to Claim 121 [using strikethrough for deleted matter and underlined for added matter]:

An apparatus as in claim 115, A multiple resolution sensing apparatus, comprising: at least one first photosensor segment having photosensitive elements for scanning at a first resolution;

at least one second photosensor segment having a plurality of rows, each one of the plurality of rows having photosensitive elements for scanning at a second resolution, the at least one second photosensor segment adjacent to the at least one first photosensor segment: and;

at least one third photosensor segment having photosensitive elements for scanning at a third resolution, the at least one third photosensor segment adjacent to the at least one second photosensor segment,

wherein the photosensitive elements of the second photosensor segment have a higher density than the photosensitive elements of the first photosensor segment so that an image is scanned at a higher resolution with the photosensitive elements of the second photosensor segment than with the photosensitive elements of the first photosensor segment;

cancelled by friend med Neceived on Feb 24 2003

wherein, in creating a color image of the first resolution, the photosensitive elements of the first photosensor segment are used;

FAX:

wherein, in creating a color image of the second resolution, the photosensitive elements of the second photosensor segment are used; and

wherein the photosensitive elements of the third photosensor segment have a higher density than the photosensitive elements of the second photosensor segment so that the image is scanned at the higher resolution with the photosensitive elements of the third photosensor segment than with the photosensitive elements of the second photosensor segment.

Furthermore, Applicant proposes cancelling claim 115 to advance prosecution of the instant case. Claim 115 would be cancelled without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer, and therefore, the rejection to this claim is rendered moot. Applicant takes this action merely to reduce the number of disputed issues and to facilitate early allowance and issuance of other claims in the present application. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the subject matter of this cancelled claim in a continuing application, if Applicant so chooses, and does not intend to dedicate any of the cancelled subject matter of claim 115 to the public.

Agenda Item 2. The Office Action Summary sheet of the Office Action mailed June 3, 2003 (paper No. 8), indicates that claims 21-40 are withdrawn from consideration. ) Applicant requests that claims 21-40 be reinstated by the Examiner. Claims 21-34 ultimately depend upon allowed claim 1. Claims 35-40 ultimately depend upon allowed claims 15 or 16. Accordingly, no further examination is required on the part of the Examiner for allowance of claims 21-40. Furthermore, in the Office Action of November 18, 2002 claims 21-40 were allowed.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for granting an interview with the Applicant's undersigned attorney on Monday, July 7, 2003 at 10:00 a.m. to discuss the above items in the proposed Interview Agenda.

Respectfully submitted.

Raymond W. Armentrout, Registration No. 45,866